UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/518,565	12/20/2004 Andreas Goeke		102790-184 (30037 US)	6786	
	7590 05/13/200 AUGHLIN & MARCU	EXAMINER			
875 THIRD AV 18TH FLOOR		ANTHONY, JOSEPH DAVID			
NEW YORK, N	NY 10022	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			1796		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			05/13/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No. Applicant(s)		Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summary			10/518,565		GOEKE, ANDREAS			
			Examiner		Art Unit			
			Joseph D. Aı	nthony	1796			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	nication appe	ears on the c	over sheet with the d	correspondence ad	ddress		
WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M nsions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	MAILING DA sof 37 CFR 1.136 munication. tatutory period will will, by statute, c	TE OF THIS (a). In no event, Il apply and will excause the applica	COMMUNICATION however, may a reply be tin kpire SIX (6) MONTHS from tion to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	•		
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 23 <i>Eat</i>	hruary 2000	and 22 July 2008				
2a)□	, ,	2b)⊠ This a	-					
3)□		<i>,</i> —			secution as to th	e merite is		
٥)ا	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
	closed in accordance with the practi	ice dilaci Ex	. parte Quay	70, 1000 O.D. 11, 40	00 0.0. 210.			
Dispositi	on of Claims							
4)🛛	☑ Claim(s) <u>1-16</u> is/are pending in the application.							
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)🛛	✓ Claim(s) 1-10 and 12-16 is/are allowed.							
6)🖂	☐ Claim(s) 11 is/are rejected.							
· ·	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
•	Claim(s) are subject to restrict	ction and/or	election req	uirement.				
	on Papers							
	The specification is objected to by th	o Evaminar						
•	-			objected to by the	Evaminor			
10)	10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
441	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority ι	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 								
2) Notice 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) 5) 6)	=	ate			

DETAILED ACTION #2

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

The claim is indefinite because the term "use" in the preamble of the claim does not constitute a proper statutory class of invention. The claim also lacks a definitive method step. As such, the claim is being withdrawn from further consideration.

Response to Arguments

In response to applicant's amendment and arguments filed 2/23/09 and 7/22/08 the Examiner has dropped all previous prior-art rejections. As such, claims 1, 4-6 are 12-16 are deemed to be in condition for allowance. Non-elected claims 2-3 and 7-10 are also deemed to be in condition for allowance and will be rejoined with the elected claims once applicant's corrects the indefiniteness issues in dependent claim 11.

Examiner Information

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner Joseph D. Anthony whose telephone number

Application/Control Number: 10/518,565 Page 3

Art Unit: 1796

is (571) 272-1117. If attempts to reach the examiner are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Harold Pyon, can be reached on (571) 272-1498. The centralized FAX machine number is (571) 273-8300. All other papers received by FAX will be treated as Official communications and cannot be immediately handled by the Examiner.

/Joseph D. Anthony/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1796 5/11/09